

Message

From: Nguyen, Lyndsey [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F5842BE2C69E4222902AC7025F4CE26B-KELLY, LYNDSEY R.]
Sent: 5/18/2017 6:37:52 PM
To: Nwosu, Bernard [Ben.Nwosu@WestonSolutions.com]
Subject: Re: Can Rad Highest Value Table
Attachments: 170516_CRU_GW_Highest Values_LKN.xlsx

Howdy Ben, sorry, I meant to send you an email on Tuesday but I was having computer issues. I took a look at the spreadsheet. The SSAL values will be the same as what was listed on the earlier spreadsheet. I've put in the SSAL values for the 170516 spreadsheet attached.

Thanks for sending me an email. I can become forgetful 😊
-Lyndsey

From: Nwosu, Bernard <Ben.Nwosu@WestonSolutions.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 8:37:22 AM
To: Nguyen, Lyndsey
Subject: RE: Can Rad Highest Value Table

Hi Lyndsey,

I know you are quite busy, but I was wondering if there was any chance I'll get this today. 😊

Thanks!

Ben Nwosu
Principal Project Scientist / Group Leader
Weston Solutions, Inc.
RST3/ED2

From: Nwosu, Bernard
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:05 AM
To: Nguyen, Lyndsey (Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov) <Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov>
Cc: Gaughan, Daniel (Gaughan.Daniel@epa.gov) <Gaughan.Daniel@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Can Rad Highest Value Table

Good morning Lyndsey,

Please can you review the attached files. It appears the formula I applied in the spreadsheet dated 170317 produced anomalous highest values which would have affected your calculation of the PRGs. I have updated the spread sheet in the file dated 170516, and would appreciate if you can go over the PRG calculation again.

Thank you.

Ben Nwosu
Principal Project Scientist / Group Leader
Weston Solutions, Inc.
RST3/ED2

From: Gaughan, Daniel [mailto:Gaughan.Daniel@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 10:33 AM

To: Nwosu, Bernard <Ben.Nwosu@WestonSolutions.com>

Subject: Can Rad Highest Value Table

Ben,
Lou found an error in your highest value table you sent over for Can Rad gw sampling.
Row 28, Radium-226 you have the highest value in column on right at 0.62. I believe it should be 45.8. Please double-check this and let me know if you agree.
Thanks,
Dan

Dan Gaughan
On-Scene Coordinator, US EPA Region II
Removal Assessment & Enforcement Section
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, MS-211
Edison, NJ 08837
gaughan.daniel@epa.gov
732-906-6984 office
917-613-2153 cell

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.